

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/813,738	HUGHES, GABRIEL T.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dmitry Suhol	3725	

All Participants:

(1) Dmitry Suhol.

(2) Benjamin Erlick.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 May 2006

Time: 12:00pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3-7,9-12, 14, 17-18

Prior art documents discussed:

Fontaine 2,776,644

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants representative and the examiner discussed ways to overcome the Fontaine '644 reference. It was suggested by the examiner to incorporate the limitations of a saddle horn. Additionally it was decided to cancel claims which contained limitations already present in the respective independent claim..